

Corrigé Série 7

1. The proof is basically the same, we only need to pay attention that,
 - $L^1 \subset (L^\infty)^*$, $L^\infty \subset (L^1)^*$;
 - The set of simple functions is dense in L^p space, $p \in [1, +\infty]$.

2. As the exercise 2 from the preceding problem, we introduce a smooth function $\chi(\xi)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\xi) &= 1, \forall 1 \leq |\xi| < 2, \\ \chi(\xi) &= 0, \forall |\xi| < 1/2, |\xi| > 4,\end{aligned}$$

and define the function $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$K_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \chi(\lambda \xi) d\xi. \quad (1)$$

Since $\|K_1\|_{L^1}, \|K_1\|_{L^2}, \|K_1\|_{L^\infty}$ are uniformly bounded, we know the existence of C such that

$$\|K_1\|_{L^k} \leq C, \forall k \in [1, +\infty]. \quad (2)$$

Now for any function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{f}(\xi) \text{ supp } 2^j \leq |\xi| < 2^{j+1}$, we know that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) \chi(2^{-j} \xi) = \hat{f}(\xi), \quad (3)$$

thus

$$K_{2^{-j}} * f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}(\xi) \chi(2^{-j} \xi)) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}(\xi)) = f. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, by Young's inequality we get

$$\|f\|_{L^q} = \|K_{2^{-j}} * f\|_{L^q} \leq \|K_{2^{-j}}\|_{L^k} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{1}{k} = 1 + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$. By the same scaling argument of the exercise 2 from the preceding problem we know that

$$\|K_\lambda\|_{L^k} = \lambda^{\frac{n(1-k)}{k}} \|K_1\|_{L^k}. \quad (6)$$

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \leq \|K_{2^{-j}}\|_{L^k} \|f\|_{L^p} = \|K_1\|_{L^k} 2^{jn(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p}. \quad (7)$$

The goal is to give an idea of the Sobolev embedding, for $1 \leq p < q < +\infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{l}{n}$ we have that $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{l,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here we only treat the case that $n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) = 1$, *i.e.* $W^{1,p} \subset L^q$. Let us define the function $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$S_\lambda^i(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \frac{\xi_i \chi(\lambda \xi)}{2\pi i |\xi|^2} d\xi, \quad (8)$$

where ξ_i is the i -th component of ξ . Thus, thanks to the fact that $2\pi i \xi_1 \hat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{\partial_{x_1} f}(\xi)$, by the same argument we know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_{2^{-j}}^i * (\partial_{x_i} f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (2\pi i \xi_i \hat{f}(\xi)) \frac{\xi_i \chi(2^{-j} \xi)}{2\pi i |\xi|^2} \right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}(\xi) \chi(2^{-j} \xi)) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}(\xi)) = f. \quad (9)$$

Next by Young's inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^q} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n S_{2^{-j}}^i * (\partial_{x_i} f) \right\|_{L^q} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|S_{2^{-j}}^i\|_{L^k} \|\partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^p}, \quad (10)$$

and direct calculation yields, for example

$$\|S_{2^{-j}}^1\|_{L^k} = \|S_1^1\|_{L^k} 2^{j(n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1)} = \|S_1^1\|_{L^k} \leq C. \quad (11)$$

Since the constant is **independent of** j , for any $f \in W^{1,p}$ we can decompose is by $f = \sum_j f_j$ with \hat{f}_j supp $2^j \leq |\xi| < 2^{j+1}$ to conclude the embedding inequality.

3. (i) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $f_n, g_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f - f_n\|_{L^p} + \|g - g_n\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

We know that

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow +\infty} \|\tau_h(f_n) + g_n\|_{L^p}^p = \|f_n\|_{L^p}^p + \|g_n\|_{L^p}^p, \quad (13)$$

thus by Minkowski inequality

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow +\infty} \|\tau_h(f) + g\|_{L^p}^p - \|f\|_{L^p}^p - \|g\|_{L^p}^p < \varepsilon + \lim_{|h| \rightarrow +\infty} \|\tau_h(f - f_n) + g - g_n\|_{L^p}^p < \varepsilon + \varepsilon^p. \quad (14)$$

(ii) For the bounded linear operator T , we are able to select $f \in L^p$ such that $\|Tf\|_{L^q} = C_0\|f\|_{L^p}$, where C_0 is the norm of the operator. Suppose that $q < p$ then let $|h| \rightarrow +\infty$, in the sense of limit we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(\tau_h f + g)\|_{L^q}^q &= \|\tau_h T f + T g\|_{L^q}^q = \|\tau_h T f\|_{L^q}^q + \|T g\|_{L^q}^q = 2C_0^q \|f\|_{L^p}^q, \\ &\leq C_0^q \|\tau_h f + g\|_{L^p}^q = C_0^q 2^{\frac{q}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p}^q, \end{aligned}$$

hence $q \geq p$, contradiction.

4. (i) By the extension theorem of linear operators on Banach spaces, we know that the inequality holds for any $f \in L^p$. We select $f \in L^p$ such that $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^q} = C_0\|f\|_{L^p}$, where C_0 is the norm of the operator. Then we use the classical scaling trick, we know that $\widehat{f(\lambda x)}(\xi) = \lambda^{-n} \hat{f}(\frac{\xi}{\lambda})$, thus

$$\|\widehat{f(\lambda x)}\|_{L^q} = \lambda^{-n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{q}} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^q} = \lambda^{-n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{q}} C_0 \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (15)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\|\widehat{f(\lambda x)}\|_{L^q} \leq C_0 \|f(\lambda x)\|_{L^p} = C_0 \|f(x)\|_{L^p} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{p}}, \quad (16)$$

thus

$$\lambda^{n(-1+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p})} \leq 1, \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (17)$$

(ii) From (i) we know that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, also we know that for $p \in [1, 2]$ the argument is true. It seems to be quite natural to prove $q \geq p$ as the preceding exercise, however, we are not able to conclude similar conditions as h tends to ∞ :

$$\lim_h \|\hat{f} e^{2\pi i h \cdot \xi} + \hat{g}\|_{L^q}^q \neq \|\hat{f}\|_{L^q}^q + \|\hat{g}\|_{L^q}^q, \forall q < 2, \quad (18)$$

though which is true for $q = 2$.

Now we attempt to construct directly counterexamples. At first, we treat the case that $n = 1$. Let us introduce Shapiro polynomial, briefly speaking, we are able to find polynomials $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ by

$$P_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{a_{n,k}} e^{2\pi i k x},$$

$$Q_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{b_{n,k}} e^{2\pi i k x},$$

satisfying

$$|P_n(x)|^2 + |Q_n(x)|^2 = 2^{n+1}. \quad (19)$$

Indeed, this can be achieved by mathematical induction, the detail of which we omit and can be found easily.

Next we select some function $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\hat{\phi}$ supp $(0, 1)$, and define

$$f_n(x) := P_n(x)\phi(x) \quad (20)$$

that satisfying

$$\|f_n\|_{L^p} \leq \|P_n\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{L^p} \leq 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^p}. \quad (21)$$

On the other hand, direct calculation on the Fourier transformation of $e^{2\pi i k x} \phi(x)$ gives

$$\|\hat{f}_n\|_{L^q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} \hat{\phi}(\xi - k) \right|^q d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} |\hat{\phi}(\xi - k)|^q d\xi = 2^n \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^q}^q, \quad (22)$$

thus

$$\|\hat{f}_n\|_{L^q} \leq 2^{\frac{n}{q}} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^q}. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, by letting n tends to ∞ we get $q \geq 2$ hence $p \leq 2$.

As for multi dimensions, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it suffices to define

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}) := f_n(x_1) \chi_{[0,1]^{n-1}}(x'). \quad (24)$$