

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 10
Homework 5

Information Theory and Coding
Oct. 7, 2024

PROBLEM 1. Assume $\{X_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\{Y_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ are two i.i.d. processes (individually) with the same alphabet, with the same entropy rate $H(X_0) = H(Y_0) = 1$ and independent from each other. We construct two processes Z and W as follows:

- To construct the process Z , we flip a fair coin and depending on the result $\Theta \in \{0, 1\}$ we select one of the processes. In other words, $Z_n = \Theta X_n + (1 - \Theta)Y_n$.
- To construct the process W , we do the coin flip at every time n . In other words, at every time n we flip a coin and depending on the result $\Theta_n \in \{0, 1\}$ we select X_n or Y_n as follows $W_n = \Theta_n X_n + (1 - \Theta_n)Y_n$.

- (a) Are Z and W stationary processes? Are they i.i.d. processes?
 (b) Find the entropy rate of Z and W . How do they compare? When are they equal?

Recall that the entropy rate of the process U (if exists) is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(U_1, \dots, U_n)$.

PROBLEM 2. We have shown in class that

$$\binom{n}{k} \leq 2^{nh_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}.$$

- (a) Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n n_i = n$, we define the quantity $\binom{n}{n_1 n_2 \dots n_K} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_K!}$. Show that

$$\binom{n}{n_1 n_2 \dots n_K} \leq 2^{nh(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K)},$$

where $p_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$ and $h(p_1, \dots, p_K) = -\sum_{i=1}^K p_i \log(p_i)$.

Let U_1, U_2, \dots be the letters generated by a memoryless source with alphabet $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_K\}$, i.e., U_1, U_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables taking values in the alphabet \mathcal{U} according to the distribution $q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_K\}$.

- (b) We want to compress this source without any idea about its distribution. Describe an optimal universal code that achieves this goal. Give a proof of its optimality.
 Hint: Use the same idea as for the binary source case.
 (c) What if the source is not i.i.d. Will your code still be optimal?

PROBLEM 3. Suppose p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K are probability distributions on an alphabet \mathcal{U} . Let H_1, \dots, H_K be the entropies of these distributions, and let $H = \max_k H_k$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and for each $n \geq 1$ consider the set

$$T(n, \epsilon) = \bigcup_k T(n, p_k, \epsilon)$$

where $T(n, p_k, \epsilon)$ is the set of ϵ -typical sequences of length n with respect to the distribution p_k , i.e., $T(n, p_k, \epsilon) = \{u^n \in \mathcal{U}^n : \forall_{u' \in \mathcal{U}} \left| \frac{1}{n} N_{u'}(u^n) - p_k(u') \right| < \epsilon p_k(u')\}$ where $N_{u'}(u^n)$ is the number of occurrences of u' in sequence u^n .

Suppose that U_1, U_2, \dots are i.i.d. with distribution p where p is one of p_1, \dots, p_K .

- (a) Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon)) = 1$. (In particular for any $\delta > 0$, for n large enough $\Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon)) > 1 - \delta$.)
- (b) Show that for large enough n , $\frac{1}{n} \log |T(n, \epsilon)| < (1 + \epsilon)H + \epsilon$.
- (c) Fix $R > H$ and $\delta > 0$. Show that for n large enough there is a prefix-free code $c : \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ such that

$$\Pr(\text{length}(c(U^n)) < nR) > 1 - \delta$$

whenever U_1, U_2, \dots are i.i.d. with distribution p , where p is one of p_1, \dots, p_K .

PROBLEM 4. Let the alphabet be $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b\}$. Consider the infinite sequence $X_1^\infty = ababababababab \dots$

- (a) What is the compressibility of $\rho(X_1^\infty)$ using finite-state machines (FSM) as defined in class? Justify your answer.
- (b) Design a specific FSM, call it M , with at most 4 states and as low a $\rho_M(X_1^\infty)$ as possible. What compressibility do you get?
- (c) Using only the result in point (a) but no specific calculations, what is the compressibility of X_1^∞ under the Lempel–Ziv algorithm, i.e., what is $\rho_{LZ}(X_1^\infty)$?
- (d) Re-derive your result from point (c) but this time by means of an explicit computation.