ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 9	Information Theory and Coding
Solutions to Homework 4	Oct. 01, 2024

Problem 1.

- (a) We have $H(f(U)) \le H(f(U), U) = H(U) + H(f(U)|U) = H(U) + 0 = H(U).$
- (b) Notice that $U \Leftrightarrow V \Leftrightarrow f(V)$ is a Markov chain. The data processing inequality implies that $H(U) H(U|f(V)) = I(U; f(V)) \leq I(U; V) = H(U) H(U|V)$. Therefore, $H(U|V) \leq H(U|f(V))$.

Problem 2.

(a) We have:

$$H(U|\hat{U}) \leq H(U,W|\hat{U}) = H(W|\hat{U}) + H(U|\hat{U},W) \leq H(W) + H(U|\hat{U},W)$$

= $H(W) + H(U|\hat{U},W=0) \cdot \mathbb{P}[W=0] + H(U|\hat{U},W=1) \cdot \mathbb{P}[W=1]$
 $\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} h_2(p_e) + 0 \cdot (1-p_e) + \log(|\mathcal{U}|-1) \cdot p_e = h_2(p_e) + p_e \log(|\mathcal{U}|-1),$

where (*) follows from the following facts:

- $H(W) = h_2(p_e).$
- $H(U|\hat{U}, W = 0) = 0$: conditioned on W = 0, we know that $U = \hat{U}$ and so the conditional entropy $H(U|\hat{U}, W = 0)$ is equal to 0.
- $H(U|\hat{U}, W = 1) \leq \log(|\mathcal{U}| 1)$: conditioned on W = 1, we know that $U \neq \hat{U}$ and so there are at most $|\mathcal{U}| - 1$ values for U. Therefore, the conditional entropy $H(U|\hat{U}, W = 0)$ is at most $\log(|\mathcal{U}| - 1)$.
- (b) Let $\hat{U} = f(V)$. We have $H(U|\hat{U}) \leq h_2(p_e) + p_e \log(|\mathcal{U}| 1)$ from (a). On the other hand, from Problem 1(b) we have $H(U|V) \leq H(U|f(V)) = H(U|\hat{U})$. We conclude that $H(U|V) \leq h_2(p_e) + p_e \log(|\mathcal{U}| 1)$.

Problem 3.

(a) Since

$$P(U = u, Z = z) = \begin{cases} p(u) & \text{if } z = 1, \\ q(u) & \text{if } z = 2, \end{cases}$$

one can immediately see that the distribution of U is $r(u) = \theta p(u) + (1 - \theta)q(u)$.

(b) H(U) = h(r), and

$$H(U|Z) = \sum_{z} P(Z=z)H(U|Z=z) = \theta h(p) + (1-\theta)h(q).$$

The last equality follows since given z = 1 (resp. z = 2) U has distribution p (resp. q). Since $H(U) \ge H(U|Z)$, we have proved that $h(r) \ge \theta h(p) + (1 - \theta)h(q)$. Problem 4.

(a) We have:

$$S = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \max\{P_1(u), P_2(u)\} \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (P_1(u) + P_2(u))$$
$$= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} P_1(u) + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} P_2(u) = 1 + 1 = 2,$$

It is easy to see from (*) that S = 2 if and only if $\max\{P_1(u), P_2(u)\} = P_1(u) + P_2(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$, which is equivalent to say that there is no $u \in \mathcal{U}$ for which we have $P_1(u) > 0$ and $P_2(u) > 0$. In other words, S = 2 if and only if

$$\{u \in \mathcal{U} : P_1(u) > 0\} \cap \{u \in \mathcal{U} : P_2(u) > 0\} = \emptyset.$$

(b) Let $l_i = \lceil \log_2 \frac{S}{\max\{P_1(a_i), P_2(a_i)\}} \rceil$, and let us compute the Kraft sum:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} 2^{-l_i} \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} 2^{-\log_2 \frac{S}{\max\{P_1(a_i), P_2(a_i)\}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\max\{P_1(a_i), P_2(a_i)\}}{S} = 1$$

Since the Kraft sum is at most 1, there exists a prefix-free code where the length of the codeword associated to a_i is l_i .

(c) Since the code constructed in (b) is prefix free, it must be the case that $\overline{l} \ge H(U)$. In order to prove the upper bounds, let P^* be the true distribution (which is either P_1 or P_2). It is easy to see that $P^*(a_i) \le \max\{P_1(a_i), P_2(a_i)\}$ for all $1 \le i \le M$. We have:

$$\bar{l} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot l_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \left[\log_{2} \frac{S}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), P_{2}(a_{i})\}} \right]$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \left(1 + \log_{2} \frac{S}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), P_{2}(a_{i})\}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \left(1 + \log S + \log_{2} \frac{1}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), P_{2}(a_{i})\}} \right)$$

$$= 1 + \log S + \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \log_{2} \frac{1}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), P_{2}(a_{i})\}}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} 1 + \log S + \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \log_{2} \frac{1}{P^{*}(a_{i})} = H(U) + \log S + 1 \leq H(U) + 2,$$

where the inequality (*) uses the fact that $P^*(a_i) \leq \max\{P_1(a_i), P_2(a_i)\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M$.

(d) Now let $l_i = \lceil \log_2 \frac{S}{\max\{P_1(a_i), \dots, P_k(a_i)\}} \rceil$, and let us compute the Kraft sum:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} 2^{-l_i} \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} 2^{-\log_2 \frac{S}{\max\{P_1(a_i),\dots,P_k(a_i)\}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\max\{P_1(a_i),\dots,P_k(a_i)\}}{S} = 1.$$

Since the Kraft sum is at most 1, there exists a prefix-free code where the length of the codeword associated to a_i is l_i . Since the code is prefix free, it must be the case that $\overline{l} \geq H(U)$. In order to prove the upper bounds, let P^* be the true distribution (which is either P_1 or ... or P_k). It is easy to see that $P^*(a_i) \leq \max\{P_1(a_i), \ldots, P_k(a_i)\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M$. We have:

$$\bar{l} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot l_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \left[\log_{2} \frac{S}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), \dots, P_{k}(a_{i})\}} \right]$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \left(1 + \log_{2} \frac{S}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), \dots, P_{k}(a_{i})\}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \left(1 + \log_{2} S + \log_{2} \frac{1}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), \dots, P_{k}(a_{i})\}} \right)$$

$$= 1 + \log_{2} S + \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \log_{2} \frac{1}{\max\{P_{1}(a_{i}), \dots, P_{k}(a_{i})\}}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} 1 + \log_{2} S + \sum_{i=1}^{M} P^{*}(a_{i}) \cdot \log_{2} \frac{1}{P^{*}(a_{i})} = H(U) + \log_{2} S + 1,$$

where the inequality (*) uses the fact that $P^*(a_i) \leq \max\{P_1(a_i), \ldots, P_k(a_i)\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M$. Now notice that $\max\{P_1(a_i), \ldots, P_k(a_i)\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^k P_j(a_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M$. Therefore, we have

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \max\{P_1(a_i), \dots, P_k(a_i)\} \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_j(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{M} P_j(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} 1 = k.$$

We conclude that $H(U) \le \overline{l} \le H(U) + \log S + 1 \le H(U) + \log k + 1$.

Problem 5.

(a) We prove the identity by induction on $n \ge 1$. For n = 1, the identity is trivial. Let n > 1 and suppose that the identity is true up to n - 1. We have:

$$\begin{split} I(Y_1^{n-1};X_n) &= I(Y_1^{n-2},Y_{n-1};X_n) \stackrel{(*)}{=} I(Y_1^{n-2};X_n) + I(X_n;Y_{n-1}|Y_1^{n-2}) \\ \stackrel{(**)}{=} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} I(X_n;Y_i|Y_1^{i-1})\Big) + I(X_n;Y_{n-1}|Y_1^{n-2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(X_n;Y_i|Y_1^{i-1}). \end{split}$$

The identity (*) is by the chain rule for mutual information, and the identity (**) is by the induction hypothesis.

(b) For every $0 \le i \le n$, define $a_i = I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^i)$, and for every $1 \le i \le n$, define $b_i = I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^{i-1})$. It is easy to see that $a_0 = a_n = 0$. We have: $\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_i|Y_1^{i-1}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^i) - I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^{i-1}) \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right)$ $\stackrel{(**)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i-1} \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_{i-1} - b_i \right)$ $= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(I(X_i^n; Y_1^{i-1}) - I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^{i-1}) \right) \stackrel{(***)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_1^{i-1}; X_i|X_{i+1}^n).$ The identities (*) and (***) are by the chain rule for mutual information. The identity (**) follows from the fact that $a_0 = a_n = 0$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i$.

Problem 6.

(a) We can write the following chain of inequalities:

$$Q^{n}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{1}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q(x_{i}) \stackrel{2}{=} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} Q(a)^{N(a|\mathbf{x})} \stackrel{3}{=} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} Q(a)^{nP_{\mathbf{x}}(a)} = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{nP_{\mathbf{x}}(a)\log Q(a)}$$
(1)
$$= \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{n(P_{\mathbf{x}}(a)\log Q(a) - P_{\mathbf{x}}(a)\log P_{\mathbf{x}}(a) + P_{\mathbf{x}}(a)\log P_{\mathbf{x}}(a))}$$
(2)
$$= 2^{n\sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} (-P_{\mathbf{x}}(a)\log \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}(a)}{Q(a)} + P_{\mathbf{x}}(a)\log P_{\mathbf{x}}(a))} = 2^{n(-D(P_{\mathbf{x}}||Q) + H(P_{\mathbf{x}}))},$$

where 1 follows because the sequence is i.i.d., grouping symbols gives 2, and 3 is the definition of type.

(b) Upper bound: We know that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k} = 1.$$

Consider one term and set p = k/n. Then,

$$1 \ge \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-k} = \binom{n}{k} 2^{n\left(\frac{k}{n}\log\frac{k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n}\log\frac{n-k}{n}\right)} = \binom{n}{k} 2^{-nh_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}$$

Lower bound: Define $S_j = {n \choose j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j}$. We can compute

$$\frac{S_{j+1}}{S_j} = \frac{n-j}{j+1} \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

One can see that this ratio is a decreasing function in j. It equals 1, if j = np + p - 1, so $\frac{S_{j+1}}{S_j} < 1$ for $j = \lfloor np + p \rfloor$ and $\frac{S_{j+1}}{S_j} \ge 1$ for any smaller j. Hence, S_j takes its maximum value at $j = \lfloor np + p \rfloor$, which equals k in our case. From this we have that

$$1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^{j} (1-p)^{n-j} \le (n+1) \max_{j} \binom{n}{j} p^{j} (1-p)^{j}$$
$$\le (n+1) \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k} \left(1-\frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-k} = (n+1) \binom{n}{k} 2^{-nh_{2}(\frac{k}{n})}.$$
(3)

The last equality comes from the derivation we had when proving the upper bound.

(c) Since for every $\mathbf{x} \in T(P)$, $Q^n(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{-n(H(P)+D(P||Q))}$ (by part (a)) and $\frac{1}{n+1}2^{nH(P)} \leq |T(P)| \leq 2^{nH(P)}$ (by part (b)), we have

$$\frac{1}{n+1}2^{-nD(P||Q)} \le Q^n(T(P)) \le 2^{-nD(P||Q)}$$